

ERRATUM TO “COBORDISMS OF MAPS WITH SINGULARITIES OF GIVEN CLASS”

YOSHIFUMI ANDO

ABSTRACT. We correct the proof in the unoriented case of Theorem 1.2 in the paper “Cobordisms of maps with singularities of given class”.

Andras Szűcs pointed out that the proof of Theorem 1.2 in the unoriented case is false. This is caused by a wrong assertion that any element of $\mathfrak{N}(n, P; \Omega, \Omega_K)$ is of order two. For example, it has been proved in [4] that there can be elements of infinite order in the cobordism groups of immersions.

Let X be a connected space with a simplicial complex structure. A path of a point x to a point y in X is denoted such as α_{xy} or β_{xy} . The inverse of a path α_{xy} is denoted by α_{xy}^{-1} . We take a base point e of X . Let $w : H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ denote an epimorphism. The homology class of a loop α_{xx} in $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is denoted by $[\alpha_{xx}]$. Let $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ denote a double covering associated to w . The space $\tilde{X}$ is defined to be a space consisting of all pairs (x, α_{xe}) under the equivalence relation such that (x, α_{xe}) and (x, β_{xe}) are equivalent if and only if $w([\alpha_{xe}^{-1}\beta_{xe}]) = 1$. Let α'_{xe} denote a path such that $w([\alpha_{xe}^{-1}\alpha'_{xe}]) = -1$. Then $p^{-1}(x)$ consists of (x, α_{xe}) and (x, α'_{xe}) . Let us recall the local coefficients $\mathcal{Z} = \{\mathbb{Z}_x\}$ over X associated to the covering $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ introduced in [2], where we have an isomorphism $(\alpha_{xe})_{\#} : \mathbb{Z}_x \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_e$ with required properties. Let us consider the local coefficients $\mathcal{F} = \{H_0(p^{-1}(x); \mathbb{Z})\}$ over X . Here, an element of $H_0(p^{-1}(x); \mathbb{Z})$ is expressed as $\{s, t\}$, where $s \in H_0((x, \alpha_{xe}); \mathbb{Z})$, $t \in H_0((x, \alpha'_{xe}); \mathbb{Z})$, and these two 0-th homology groups are canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}_e$. However, we cannot give a specified order to these two elements. We note that there exists the isomorphisms

$$(\alpha_{xe})_{\#} : \mathbb{Z}_x \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_e \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha'_{xe})_{\#} : \mathbb{Z}_x \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_e.$$

Let $i : X \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ denote a monomorphism defined by $i(x, s) = \{s, s\}$, which lies in $H_0(p^{-1}(x); \mathbb{Z})$. Let $j : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ denote an epimorphism defined by $j(\{s, t\}) = (\alpha_{xe})_{\#}^{-1}(s) + (\alpha'_{xe})_{\#}^{-1}(t) \in \mathbb{Z}_x$. This is well defined. Then it is not difficult to see that the sequence of the local coefficients

$$0 \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Indeed, $(\alpha_{xe})_{\#}^{-1}(s) + (\alpha'_{xe})_{\#}^{-1}(t) = 0$ if and only if $s = t$. Therefore, we have the exact sequence

$$(0.1) \quad \rightarrow H_{i+1}(X, Y; \mathcal{Z}) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_i(X, Y; X \times \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_i(X, Y; \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_i(X, Y; \mathcal{Z}) \xrightarrow{\partial}$$

for a pair (X, Y) , where Y is a subcomplex.

The following lemma may be well-known, though we give an elementary proof for completeness.

Key words and phrases. Singularity, map, cobordism, stable homotopy.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57R45, 57R90, 58A20.

Lemma 0.1. *Let (X, Y) be as above and $\tilde{Y} = p^{-1}(Y)$. Then $H_*(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Z})$ is canonically isomorphic to $H_*(X, Y; \mathcal{F})$.*

Proof. We provide $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{Y}$ with simplicial complex structures induced from X and Y . A j -simplex $|p_0 \cdots p_j|$ of X constitutes the two simplexes in $p^{-1}(|p_0 \cdots p_j|)$, which we denote by $(|p_0 \cdots p_j|, \alpha_{p_0 e})$ and $(|p_0 \cdots p_j|, \alpha'_{p_0 e})$. This notation will be reasonable. Let J denote the set consisting of all j -simplexes in X . Let us define a chain isomorphism

$$\phi_j : C_j(X; \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C_j(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z})$$

as follows. Let $s \in H_0((x, \alpha_{p_0 e}); \mathbb{Z})$ and $t \in H_0((x, \alpha'_{p_0 e}); \mathbb{Z})$. An element of $C_j(X; \mathcal{F})$ is written as

$$\sum_{|p_0 \cdots p_j| \in J} (s + t) |p_0 \cdots p_j|,$$

where $s + t$ is zero except for finite j -simplexes. Let ϕ_j map it onto the following element of $C_j(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z})$.

$$\sum_{|p_0 \cdots p_j| \in J} s(|p_0 \cdots p_j|, \alpha_{p_0 e}) + t(|p_0 \cdots p_j|, \alpha'_{p_0 e}).$$

It is not difficult to see that ϕ_j is an isomorphism.

Next we show that ϕ_j is compatible with the boundary operators. Let $\overline{p_0 p_1}$ be a segment in $|p_0 \cdots p_j|$ and let $(\overline{p_0 p_1})_\# : H_0(p^{-1}(p_0); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_0(p^{-1}(p_1); \mathbb{Z})$ be the canonical isomorphism. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_{j-1} \circ \partial((s + t) |p_0 \cdots p_j|) \\ &= \phi_{j-1} \{ (\overline{p_0 p_1})_\# (s + t) |p_1 \cdots p_j| + \sum_{m=1}^j (-1)^m (s + t) |p_0 \cdots p_{m-1} p_{m+1} \cdots p_j| \} \\ &= ((\overline{p_0 p_1})_\#(s))(|p_1 \cdots p_j|, \alpha_{p_1 e}) + ((\overline{p_0 p_1})_\#(t))(|p_1 \cdots p_j|, \alpha'_{p_1 e}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=1}^j (-1)^m \{ s(|p_0 \cdots p_{m-1} p_{m+1} \cdots p_j|, \alpha_{p_0 e}) \\ &\quad \quad \quad + t(|p_0 \cdots p_{m-1} p_{m+1} \cdots p_j|, \alpha'_{p_0 e}) \} \\ &= \partial \left\{ \sum_{|p_0 \cdots p_j| \in J} s(|p_0 \cdots p_j|, \alpha_{p_0 e}) + t(|p_0 \cdots p_j|, \alpha'_{p_0 e}) \right\} \\ &= \partial \circ \phi_j((s + t) |p_0 \cdots p_j|) \end{aligned}$$

This proves the assertion. $\square$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.2 in the unoriented case.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 in the unoriented case. Let $\ell \gg n, p$. Let $\hat{\gamma}_\Omega^\ell$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega_K^1}^\ell$ be the vector bundles over the spaces Ω and Ω_K^1 given in [1, Introduction] and let $(\hat{\gamma}_\Omega^\ell)_x$ and $(\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega_K^1}^\ell)_x$ be their fibers over a point x respectively. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}(w^\Omega) &= \{ H_\ell((\hat{\gamma}_\Omega^\ell)_x, (\hat{\gamma}_\Omega^\ell)_x \setminus \{0\}; \mathbb{Z}) \}_{x \in \Omega}, \\ \mathcal{Z}(w^{\Omega_K^1}) &= \left\{ H_\ell \left((\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega_K^1}^\ell)_x, (\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega_K^1}^\ell)_x \setminus \{0\}; \mathbb{Z} \right) \right\}_{x \in \Omega_K^1} \end{aligned}$$

denote the local coefficient systems associated to the first Stiefel-Whitney classes of the grassmann manifolds $G_{n,\ell}$ and $G_{n,\ell+1}$ in Ω and Ω_K^1 respectively. It follows from [3, Theorem 1.2] that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{i+\ell}(T(\hat{\gamma}_\Omega^\ell); \mathbb{Z}) &\approx H_i(\Omega; \mathcal{Z}(w^\Omega)), \\ H_{i+\ell}(T(\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega_K^1}^\ell); \mathbb{Z}) &\approx H_i(\Omega_K^1; \mathcal{Z}(w^{\Omega_K^1})). \end{aligned}$$

Since $T(\hat{\gamma}_\Omega^\ell)$ and $T(\hat{\gamma}_{\Omega_K^1}^\ell)$ are simply connected, it is sufficient for Theorem 1.2 to prove that

$$(\Delta^{(\Omega, \Omega_K)})_* : H_i(\Omega; \mathcal{Z}(w^\Omega)) \rightarrow H_i(\Omega_K^1; \mathcal{Z}(w^{\Omega_K^1}))$$

is an isomorphism for $0 \leq i < n$ and an epimorphism for $i = n$.

By applying the mapping cylinder of $\Delta^{(\Omega, \Omega_K)}$, we may suppose that Ω is a subspace of Ω_K^1 , $\Delta^{(\Omega, \Omega_K)}$ is an inclusion, and that $(\Delta^{(\Omega, \Omega_K)})^*(\mathcal{Z}(w^{\Omega_K^1}))$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}(w^\Omega)$. By considering relative homology groups the above assertion for $(\Delta^{(\Omega, \Omega_K)})_*$ is equivalent to the assertion that

$$(0.2) \quad H_i(\Omega_K^1, \Omega; \mathcal{Z}(w^{\Omega_K^1}))$$

vanishes for $0 \leq i \leq n$.

Recall $\pi_1(G_{n,\ell}) = \pi_1(G_{n,\ell+1}) = \{\pm 1\}$. Let $p_{\Omega_K^1} : (\tilde{\Omega}_K^1, \tilde{\Omega}) \rightarrow (\Omega_K^1, \Omega)$ denote the double covering of Ω and Ω_K^1 associated to the first Stiefel-Whitney classes of the grassmann manifolds $G_{n,\ell}$ and $G_{n,\ell+1}$ respectively. Let $\mathcal{F}(\Omega_K^1)$ denote the local coefficients $\{H_0((p_{\Omega_K^1})^{-1}(x); \mathbb{Z})\}$ as above. It is enough for the assertion concerning (0.2) to prove that $H_i(\Omega_K^1, \Omega; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_i(\Omega_K^1, \Omega; \mathcal{F}(\Omega_K^1))$ vanish for $0 \leq i \leq n$ by the exact sequence in (0.1).

On the otherhand, we have by Lemma 0.1 that

$$H_i(\Omega_K^1, \Omega; \mathcal{F}(\Omega_K^1)) \approx H_i(\tilde{\Omega}_K^1, \tilde{\Omega}; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Hence, we show that $H_i(\Omega_K^1, \Omega; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_i(\tilde{\Omega}_K^1, \tilde{\Omega}; \mathbb{Z})$ vanish for $0 \leq i \leq n$. In fact, it follows from [1, Lemma 7.2] that $(\Delta^{(\Omega, \Omega_K)})_* : \pi_i(\Omega) \rightarrow \pi_i(\Omega_K^1)$ is an isomorphism for $0 \leq i < n$ and an epimorphism for $i = n$. Namely, both of $\pi_i(\Omega_K^1, \Omega)$ and $\pi_i(\tilde{\Omega}_K^1, \tilde{\Omega})$ vanish for $0 \leq i \leq n$, and so do $H_i(\Omega_K^1, \Omega; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_i(\tilde{\Omega}_K^1, \tilde{\Omega}; \mathbb{Z})$. This proves Theorem 1.2. $\square$

In the proof of [1, Proposition 10.3] the phrase “the similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.2” should be read in the context of this note.

The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to Professors Andras Szűcs.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Ando, Cobordisms of maps with singularities of given class, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 8(2008), 1989-2029.
- [2] N. E. Steenrod, Homology with local coefficients, *Ann. of Math.* 44(1943), 610-627.
- [3] R. Thom, Espaces fibrés en sphères et carrés de Steenrod, *Ann. Sci. École. Norm. Sup.* (3) 69(1952), 109-182.
- [4] R. Wells, Cobordism groups of immersions, *Topology* 5(1966), 281-294.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, YAMAGUCHI UNIVERSITY,
YAMAGUCHI, 753-8512, JAPAN

E-mail address: andoy@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp